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**Dear reviewer**: In order to review the manuscript you have kindly accepted to collaborate with, it is important to take into account the guidelines and recommendations that appear in the [Information for Authors of](https://docs.google.com/open?id=0B9JF8tht3MQsNG42TVJmbnVmRDQ) the Journal: [http://www.revistas.ucr.ac.cr/index.php/pem.](https://revistas.ucr.ac.cr/index.php/pem) We use more detailed forms for systematic reviews, metaanalysis, invited manuscripts, etc.

**After carefully reading the manuscript, and taking your corresponding notes, please mark the RECOMMENDATION you make of the manuscript:**

**\***

* Accept (as is)
* Revisions needed (In its current form it cannot be published, the section editor should coordinate with the author the necessary changes).
* Resubmit for revisions (The manuscript requires major changes. The editor should resubmit the corrected manuscript to the reviewers for a new round of revisions.)
* Reject (as is)

**Would you be willing to review this manuscript again once it has been corrected?**

**\***

* Yes
* No

**Confidential comments to the Associate Editor**(This is where, as a reviewer, you can be candid with the Editor and give an objective evaluation that will not be transmitted directly to the author of the manuscript.)

**General and Author-Specific Comments**(These can be in the body of the manuscript, using the Track Changes function in MS Word tools, or in a separate document, clearly indicating the page and place of each comment. Be as specific as possible, and present your comments in a constructive manner that will always allow the author to improve the quality of your manuscript, even if it is rejected).