

DISCOVERING AND CURATING OPEN PEER REVIEW RESOURCES:

Reviewer Credits Supports
A New Community-sourced
Initiative



**Where’s all the useful content to do with peer review online?
Are there any standards for researchers to follow? How can
we know which content is useful and what’s not?**

Building an open community-based peer review resource database will provide one holistic answer to all these questions and more.

Reviewer Credit supports the initiative launched by **Dr. Maria Machado**, **Dr. Gareth Dyke**, and **Dr. Jo Havemann** to get an overview of existing resources on peer review, their intrinsic diversity due to community and discipline specificities, and interpretations of peer review types (single blind, double blind, open, transparent, etc). We agree that from this starting point it will then be possible to distill peer review best practices and share useful and informative resources with researcher-reviewers and other scholarly stakeholders.

To kick things off, **Storytelling for Science**, **Access2Perspectives**, and **Reviewer Credits** recently hosted a joint live event to discuss the details and implementation of this project ([Recording Here](#)).

A concept note that explains the goals and outcomes of this project has been published ([Read Here](#)). Our next step will be to release a database of preliminarily curated peer review resources which individual scholars and institutional representatives are invited to contribute to.



Dr. Sven Fund

Managing Director of ReviewerCredits

“the peer review process is dependent on researchers who often need to understand how best to carry out this process. We are delighted to help support the curation of an open set of resources available to all: As a community of open researchers we must work together to develop the future of peer review”.